## **United States Bankruptcy Court**

Middle District of Pennsylvania

In re Colleen Richner,

Debtor

Case No. <u>5:12-bk-02881-JJT</u>

Colleen Richner,

Chapter 13

Plaintiff

Adv. Proc. No.

Ditech Financial, LLC,

5:17-ap-00164-IIT

Defendant

**Plaintiff's Motion to Strike** 

Plaintiff respectfully asks the Court to strike, with leave to re-plead, certain paragraphs from Defendant's Answer (<u>Doc. 10</u>) on the basis that the defenses are "insufficient" as described in <u>Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(f)</u>, which rule is made applicable here by <u>Bankr. Rule 7012(b)</u>. Specifically, Plaintiff asks that the following paragraphs be stricken: 1, 8 – 10, 13 – 25, 27, 28, 30, 31, 33 – 35, 37 – 39, 41 – 43, and 61 – 67. Those defenses are insufficient because they fail to satisfy the requirement of <u>Bankr. Rule 7008(a)</u> and <u>Fed. R. Civ. P. 8</u> that Defendant: admit the allegations, deny the allegations, or state that Defendant lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the allegation.

Plaintiff's brief in support will be filed within fourteen days.

s/ Carlo Sabatini

Carlo Sabatini, Attorney for Plaintiff Sabatini Law Firm, LLC 216 N. Blakely St. Dunmore, PA 18512 Phone (570) 341-9000 Facsimile (570) 504-2769 Email ecf@bankruptcypa.com Bar Number PA 83831

## **Certificate of Service**

Defendant is being served through the CM/ECF system.

s/ Carlo Sabatini

Carlo Sabatini